

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/609,690	07/05/2000	Handong Wu	252/110	4070
28875	7590 09/02/2004		EXAMINER	
Zilka-Kotab, PC			GOLD, AVI M	
P.O. BOX 721120 SAN JOSE, CA 95172-1120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
57H13O5E, C	. 75172 1120		2157	
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/02/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	OX-			
Advisory Action	09/609,690	WU ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Avi Gold	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 29 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applically a timely filed amendment whice all (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply h places the applicat	tion in			
_	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding among the shortened statutory period for reply	ng date of the final rejection. FR 1.136(a) and the approposition of the fee. The approposition of the fee.	See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	CFR 1.704(b).		ction, even ii			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	s.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _	or reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	nt(s) a)□ will not be entered or l would be rejected is provided bel	b)⊠ will be entered : low or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-18 and 30.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 19-29.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 7:

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986)

Regarding the arguments against claim 1: The combination of Abraham and Gleeson for a first and second data processing unit would inherently have them connected by a data bus. In Gleeson, the compression routine's "plurality of instruction sets" include overwriting the original TP/NP header and data with new information which corresponds to a wireless PDU header. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, one would be motivated to combine the references because it would allow for the packets to be processed more efficiently.

Regarding the arguments against claims 4 and 8: The addressable memory unit is identified as a mass memory which comprises RAM and stores the rules database (col. 6, lines 55-60; col. 7, lines 22-24). It is inherent that the information the system administrator can access would be stored in the mass memory.

Regarding the arguments against claim 30: The policy condition table is shown as the set of rules in a database and it is inherent that those policies that are processed would be processed by a logic unit.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100